

ON RELATIVE γ -SETS

Lj.D.R. Kočinac, C. Guido, L. Babinkostova

ABSTRACT. In this note we show a relative version of the Gerlits-Nagy theorem concerning a characterization of γ -sets in terms of function spaces. Our result involves a property of the corresponding mapping between function spaces.

1. Introduction

In this note X will denote a Tychonoff space and Y will be a subspace of X . The notation and terminology we follow are standard [E]. An open cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is an ω -cover [GN] if X does not belong to \mathcal{U} and every finite subset of X is contained in an element of \mathcal{U} . An open cover \mathcal{U} of X is called a γ -cover [GN] if it is infinite and for each $x \in X$ the set $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \notin U\}$ is finite. Let us observe that every γ -cover is an ω -cover; moreover, each finite subset of a space belongs to all but finitely many elements of a γ -cover. Also, every infinite subset of a γ -cover is itself a γ -cover. These facts will be used without special mention. For a space X $C_p(X)$ denotes the space of all continuous real-valued functions on X endowed with the pointwise topology. Since $C_p(X)$ is a homogeneous space we can single out the point $\underline{0} \in C_p(X)$, the function which has value zero everywhere. For $Y \subset X$, π denotes the mapping from $C_p(X)$ into $C_p(Y)$ defined by $\pi(f) = f \upharpoonright Y$, $f \in C_p(X)$.

Some results in the literature show that there is a duality between relative covering properties of a subspace Y of a Tychonoff space X and closure-type properties of the mapping π . This sort of duality was documented, for example, for the Lindelöf property [G], the Menger property (and variations of this property) [KB], [GK], Rothberger's property [KB] and the Hurewicz property [GK].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C35, 54D20; Secondary 54B05, 54D55.

Key words and phrases. ω -cover, γ -cover, $C_p(X)$, relative properties, γ -set, strongly Fréchet mapping.

In this paper we give such a duality analysis concerning relative γ -set property and the corresponding strongly Fréchet property of the mapping π .

2. Results

In [GN], Gerlits and Nagy introduced the following notion: a space X is a γ -space (or a γ -set) if for each ω -cover \mathcal{U} of X one can choose a sequence $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a γ -cover of X . It is known that the γ -set property of a space X is equivalent to the statement: For each sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of ω -covers of X there is a sequence $(\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n \mathcal{V}_n is a finite subset of \mathcal{U}_n and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n$ is a γ -cover of X (see, for example, [JMSS]). It is easy now to conclude that a space X is actually a γ -set if and only if for each sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of ω -covers of X there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n \mathcal{V}_n is a finite subset of \mathcal{U}_n and for each finite set $F \subset X$, for all but finitely many n , $F \subset V$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$.

We introduce a relative version of this notion.

2.1. Definition. Let Y be a subspace of a space X . We say that Y is a γ -set in X if for each sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of ω -covers of X there is a sequence $(\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n \mathcal{V}_n is a finite subset of \mathcal{U}_n and for each finite set $F \subset Y$, for all but finitely many n , $F \subset \bigcap \mathcal{V}_n$. \square

Remark. (1) Let us note that the relative γ -set property is hereditary. However, the Gerlits-Nagy γ -set property is not hereditary. In [GM], Galvin and Miller, under appropriate hypotheses, constructed an uncountable set X of the real line which is a γ -set and is concentrated [Mi] on some countable set $C \subset X$. It follows that $X \setminus C$ is not a γ -set.

(2) The same set X is a relative γ -set (because, clearly, every γ -set is also a relative γ -set). Thus $X \setminus C$ is a relative γ -set which is not a γ -set.

(3) Relative γ -sets of real numbers have Borel's property of strong measure zero [B]. Thus, by well known results about strong measure zero sets (Borel's conjecture that no uncountable set of real numbers has strong measure zero is undecidable in ZFC) [Mi], the question if there is an uncountable relative γ -set of real numbers is undecidable in ZFC. \square

2.2. Lemma. ([JMSS]) *If \mathcal{U} is an ω -cover of a space X^2 , then there is an ω -cover \mathcal{V} of X such that $\{V^2 : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ refines \mathcal{U} .* \square

2.3. Theorem. *For a space X and $Y \subset X$ the following are equivalent:*

- (1) Y is a γ -set in X ;
- (2) Y^2 is a γ -set in X^2 (and thus for each positive integer n , Y^n is a γ -set in X^n).

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Let $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of ω -covers of X^2 . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let, by Lemma 2.2, \mathcal{V}_n be an ω -cover of X such that $\{V^2 : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ refines \mathcal{U}_n . Since Y is a γ -set in X one can find a sequence $(\mathcal{W}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of finite sets such that for each n $\mathcal{W}_n \subset \mathcal{V}_n$ and for each finite set $K \subset Y$ there is n_0 such that $F \subset W$ for each $W \in \mathcal{W}_n$ with $n > n_0$. For each n we let \mathcal{C}_n denote the subset of \mathcal{U}_n satisfying: for each $W \in \mathcal{W}_n$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{C}_n$ for which $W^2 \subset U$ holds. We claim that $(\mathcal{C}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ witnesses that Y^2 is a γ -set in X^2 .

Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ be a finite subset of Y^2 and let for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $p_i = (p_{i1}, p_{i2})$. Then $Q = \{p_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, 2\}$ is a finite subset of Y , so that there is m such that $Q \subset W$ for each $W \in \mathcal{W}_n$, $n > m$. For each such W take a $U \in \mathcal{C}_n$ for which $W^2 \subset U$. Then for each $U \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and each $n > m$ we have $P \subset Q^2 \subset W^2 \subset U$, i.e. Y^2 is a γ -set in X^2 .

(2) \implies (1): Let $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of ω -covers of X . For each n let $\mathcal{V}_n = \{U^2 : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a sequence of ω -covers of X^2 . By assumption, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose a finite $\mathcal{W}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ such that for each finite subset K of Y^2 there exists n_0 such that $K \subset U^2$ for each $U \in \mathcal{W}_n$ and each $n > n_0$. We verify that the sequence $(\mathcal{W}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ witnesses for $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ that Y is a γ -set in X .

Let S be a finite subset of Y . Since S^2 is a finite subset of Y^2 there is some k such that $S^2 \subset U^2$ for all $U \in \mathcal{W}_n$ with $n > k$. It implies $S \subset U$ for each $U \in \mathcal{W}_n$, $n > k$. \square

For the main result of the paper we need the following definition.

2.4. Definition. A continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *strongly Fréchet* if for each sequence $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of subsets of X and each $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{A_n}$ there is a sequence $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n B_n is a finite, nonempty subset of A_n and for each neighborhood V of $f(x)$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(B_n) \subset V$ for all $n > n_0$ (i.e. the sequence $(f(B_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $f(x)$). \square

Let us note that if either X or Y is strongly Fréchet, then f is strongly Fréchet.

In [GN], it was shown that a space X is a γ -set if and only if the space $C_p(X)$ is strongly Fréchet. We shall show now that a similar assertion is true for relative γ -property and the corresponding mapping between function spaces.

2.5. Theorem. *For a Tychonoff space X and its subspace Y the following are equivalent:*

- (a) Y is a γ -set in X ;
- (a') For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Y^n is a γ -set in X^n ;

(b) *The mapping π is strongly Fréchet.*

Proof. (a) \implies (b): Let $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of subsets of $C_p(X)$ such that $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{A_n}$. Fix n . For every finite subset F of X the basic neighborhood $W = W(\underline{0}; F; 1/n)$ of $\underline{0}$ intersects A_n ; pick a function $f_{F,n} \in A_n$ such that $|f_{F,n}(x)| < 1/n$ for each $x \in F$. Since $f_{F,n}$ is a continuous function there are neighborhoods U_x of x , $x \in F$, such that for $U_{F,n} = \bigcup_{x \in F} U_x \supset F$ it holds $f_{F,n}(U_{F,n}) \subset (-1/n, 1/n)$. If $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{F,n} : F \in [X]^{<\omega}\}$, then $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a sequence of ω -covers of X . By assumption one can find a sequence $(\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n \mathcal{V}_n is a finite subset of \mathcal{U}_n and each finite subset of Y is contained in all elements of \mathcal{V}_k for all k bigger than some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (for each n) $\mathcal{V}_n = \{U_{F_i,n} : i \in M_n\}$, where M_n is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . Consider the sets B_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of the corresponding functions: $B_n = \{f_{F_i,n} : i \in M_n\} \subset A_n$. We verify that the sequence $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ witnesses for $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ that π is strongly Fréchet.

Let $W = W(\pi(\underline{0}); K; \varepsilon)$ be a neighborhood of $\pi(\underline{0})$ in $C_p(Y)$ and suppose that m is a positive integer such that $1/m < \varepsilon$. Since K is a finite subset of Y and Y is a γ -set in X there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset \bigcap \mathcal{V}_k$ for each $k > n_0$. This means that for each $i \in M_k$, $k > n_0$, it holds $\pi(f_{F_i,k})(K) \subset (-1/k, 1/k)$. For all $n > \max\{n_0, m\}$ and all $i \in M_n$ we have

$$\pi(f_{F_i,n})(K) = f_{F_i,n}(K) \subset f_{F_i,n}(U_{F_i,n}) \subset (-1/n, 1/n) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon),$$

i.e. $\pi(B_n) \subset W$ for each $n > \max\{n_0, m\}$.

(b) \implies (a): Let $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of ω -covers of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each finite subset F of X we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{n,F}$ the set $\{U \in \mathcal{U}_n : F \subset U\}$. If $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n,F}$, let $f_{U,F} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function satisfying $f_{U,F}(F) = 0$, $f_{U,F}(X \setminus U) = 1$. Let $A_n = \{f_{U,F} : F \in [X]^{<\omega}, U \in \mathcal{U}_{n,F}\}$. Then $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{A_n}$: if $W(\underline{0}; K; \varepsilon)$ is a basic neighborhood of $\underline{0}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n,K}$, then the function $f_{U,K}$ belongs to $A_n \cap W(\underline{0}; K; \varepsilon)$ for each n .

Since π is strongly Fréchet there exists a sequence $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of finite sets such that for each n , $B_n \subset A_n$ and $(\pi(B_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $\pi(\underline{0})$. Assume that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_n = \{f_{U_i,F_i} : i \in Z_n\}$, where Z_n is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . Consider $\mathcal{V}_n = \{U_i : i \in Z_n\} \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ and prove that the sequence $(\mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ witnesses for $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ that Y is a γ -set in X . Let S be a finite subset of Y . Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the neighborhood $W = W(\pi(\underline{0}); S; 1)$ of $\pi(\underline{0}) \in C_p(Y)$ contains all $\pi(B_n)$ with $n > n_0$, i.e. $\pi(f_{U_i,F_i}) \in W$ for each $i \in Z_n$, $n > n_0$. This implies $S \subset U_i$ for each $i \in Z_n$, $n > n_0$, i.e. (a) is satisfied. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referee whose comments help them to give relationships between relative γ -sets and other covering properties.

REFERENCES

- [B] E. Borel, *Sur la classification des ensembles de mesure nulle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1919), 97–125.
- [E] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [GN] J. Gerlits and Zs. Nagy, *Some properties of $C(X)$, I*, Topology Appl. **14** (1982), 151–161.
- [G] I.Yu. Gordienko, *Two theorems on relative cardinal invariants*, Zbornik rad. Fil. fak. (Niš), Ser. Mat. **4** (1990), 51–53.
- [GK] C. Guido, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, *Relative covering properties*, Preprint.
- [GM] F. Galvin, A.W. Miller, *γ -sets and other singular sets of real numbers*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984), 145–155.
- [H] W. Hurewicz, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Borelschen Theorems*, Math. Z. **24** (1925), 401–421.
- [JMSS] W. Just, A.W. Miller, M. Scheepers, P.J. Szeptycki, *Combinatorics of open covers (II)*, Topology Appl. **73** (1996), 241–266.
- [K] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, *Selection principles and function spaces*, Preprint.
- [KB] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, L. Babinkostova, *Function spaces and some relative covering properties*, Preprint.
- [KS] Lj. Kočinac, M. Scheepers, *Function spaces and a property of Reznichenko*, Topology Appl. (to appear).
- [Me] K. Menger, *Einige Überdeckungssätze der Punktmengenlehre*, Sitzungsberichte Abt. 2a, Mathematik, Astronomie, Physik, Meteorologie und Mechanik (Wiener Akademie, Wien) **133** (1924), 421–444.
- [Mi] A.W. Miller, *Special subsets of the real line*, In: Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology (K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 1–61.
- [MF] A.W. Miller, D.H. Fremlin, *On some properties of Hurewicz, Menger and Rothberger*, Fund. Math. **129** (1988), 17–33.
- [R] F. Rothberger, *Eine Verschärfung der Eigenschaft C* , Fund. Math. **30** (1938), 50–55.

FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NIS, 18000 NIS, YUGOSLAVIA
E-mail address: lkocinac@ptt.yu

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI LECCE, 73100 LECCE, ITALIA
E-mail address: guido@ilenic.unile.it

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SKOPJE, 91000 SKOPJE, MACEDONIA
E-mail address: liljanab@iunona.pmf.ukim.edu.mk